NOTE

This Microfiche meets the quality requirements of the American National Standard for Microfiche of Documents (ANSI PH5.9-1975). However, because of imperfections in the document from which it was made, it contains images that are illegible or difficult to read. The source document for this microfiche is the best copy that could be obtained prior to filming:-

CIS Congressional Information Service Washington, D.C.

Joint Committee on Taxation
August 4, 1989
JCX-43-89

DISTRIBUTIONAL EFFECT OF CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI'S CAPITAL GAINS PROPOSAL

[1991 Income Levels]

Income Class[1]	Number of Returns With Tax Change (Thousands)	Aggregate Tax Change (Millions of Dollars)	Percent Distribution of Aggregate Tax Change[2]
Less than $10,000.....	84	$ -15	0.1%
10,000 - 20,000.....	755	-139	0.7
20,000 - 30,000.....	1,431	-295	1.4
30,000 - 40,000.....	1,580	-563	2.7
40,000 - 50,000.....	1,168	-999	4.7
50,000 - 75,000.....	1,761	-1,735	8.2
75,000 - 100,000.....	666	-1,557	7.4
100,000 - 200,000.....	1,019	-4,071	19.2
200,000 and Above.....	512	-11,788	55.7
Total.....	**8,976**	**$-21,162**	**100.0%**

NOTE: Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

---

[1] The income concept used to place tax returns into income classes is adjusted gross income, plus: (1) tax-exempt interest, (2) employer contributions for health plans and life insurance, (3) inside build-up on life insurance, (4) worker's compensation, (5) nontaxable social security benefits, (6) deductible contributions to individual retirement accounts, (7) the minimum tax preferences, and (8) net losses in excess of minimum tax preferences, from passive business activities.

[2] Figures indicate the actual benefit received under a fully phased-in proposal, assuming no change in taxpayer behavior. This measure understates the actual tax benefit received by certain taxpayers.

J862-56